# SOUTHEAST MORTGAGEE ADVISORY C

### HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD

Environmental Part I – Laws and Authorities – Overview, Common Deficiencies, FAQ's. Session 2 Presented by:

- Sara Jensen, Environmental Specialist, Office of Housing;
- Jacob Levine, Environmental Specialist, Office of Housing;
- Chuck Melton, Field Environmental Officer, Region IV;
- Moderated by Amy Long, JLL Real Estate Capital, LLC

### **Supporting Documentation**

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗌
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

NAL = Noise Assessment Location (aka "Receiver")

Noise Source: Roadway, Railway, or Airport

Lateral Distance: NAL to Source distance measured on a map

Effective Distance: Lateral distance adjusted for difference in elevation between Source and NAL

### Noise Assessment Location (NAL) Selection

- i. Most multifamily projects require multiple NALs
- ii. Number of NALs increases with number of:
  - Noise-sensitive Uses (Buildings and Outdoor Uses)
  - Noise Sources
  - Source-Receiver Vectors (distance and direction)

iii. NAL selection becomes complex with multiple source-receiver vectors

# <u>NOISE</u>

### Noise Assessment Location (NAL) Selection

### **Supporting Documentation**

- NAL Overview Map showing all NALs and roadway/railway sources (ideally with site plan overlay)
- Additional maps showing each NAL and Sources annotated with measurement points and distances (multiple maps may be necessary for legibility)

### **NOISE: NAL Overview Map**



### NOISE: NAL – Source Distances (not useful)



### NOISE: NAL – Source Distances





### Average Speed

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗌
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	





### AADT: Historical Data – Total AADT

### **Preferred Sources**

Local/State Roadway Authorities

- State DOT
- City/County Transportation/Roadway
   Departments

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗆
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

### NOISE – Historical AADT Data Source

AF Group	14	Route	
GF Group	Davidson	Active	Yes
Class Dist Grp	14	Category	cc
Seas Clss Grp			
WIM Group			
QC Group	Default		
Fnct'l Class	Other Principal Arterial	Milepost	
Located On	NOLENSVILLE PK.		
Loc On Alias			
	NEAR THOMPSON LANE		
More Detail	8		

### STATION DATA

### Directions: 2-WAY NB SB (2)

AAD	AADT 🕐														
	Year	AADT	DHV-30	Κ%	D %	PA	BC	Src							
	2021	36,294	2,414	7	51	33,135 (91%)	3,159 (9%)								
	2020	27,034	2,401	9	54	24,656 (91%)	2,378 (9%)								
	2019	32,494		10	60										
	2018	38,138		8	75										
	2017	31,151 <sup>2</sup>													
<<	<	> >>	1-5 of 3	7	8	×									

### Model Model

Year

Model AADT AM PHV AM PPV MD PHV MD PPV PM PHV PM PPV NT PHV NT PPV

VOLU	ME COUNT			VOLUME TRE	END 🕐
	Date	Int	Total	Year	Annual Growth
\$	Mon 2/22/2021	15	35,152	2021	34%
\$	Wed 2/19/2020	15	32,722	2020	-17%
35	Mon 3/4/2019	60	34,539	2019	-15%
1	Wed 2/28/2018	60	39,711	2019	22%
-	Wed 3/16/2016	60	33,753	2013	2270
-	Mon 1/26/2015	60	30,523	2017	3%
1	Mon 1/27/2014	60	30,042	2016	-7%
1	Mon 1/14/2013	60	29,637	2015	9%
1	Tue 1/17/2012	60	34,100	2014	-2%
-	Wed 2/2/2011	60	33,420	2013	-10%
	22 2 5 5 4 40	of 17		2012	9%
mm	1/dd/yyyy 🗊 To	Date		<< <	> >>  1-10 of 36



### AADT: Historical Data – Vehicle Class Counts

### **Preferred Sources**

Most Recent Classification Count from:

- State DOT
- City/County Transportation/Roadway
   Departments

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗆
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

### NOISE – Counts with Vehicle Class Data

AADT 🕐

Travel Deman Model

VOLUME COU

1 1

-

-

-

-

--

--

SPEED

Year

mm/dd/yyy

Date

-							
	19						-
r	AADT	DHV-30	K %	D %	PA	BC	Src
1	20,819	1,702	8	64	19,010 (91%)	1,809 (9%)	
0	23,422	2,283	10	/0	21,360 (91%)	2,062 (9%)	2
9	25,576		10	70			
8	25,558		9	/1			4
7	22,2024				19,467 (88%)	2,734 (12%)	
	> >>	1-5 of 3	37				
and	Model						
el I	Model	AM PHV		MD PHV			
	AADT		- ANTI- IV	mo r nv			
DU	NT				VOLUME TREN	00	
	Date		Int	Total	Year	Annual Gro	wth
Ти	ie 1/19/2021		15	22,254	2021	-11%	
М	on 1/6/2020		15	27,571	2020	-8%	
М	on 2/4/2019		60	25,353	2019	0%	
Mo	on 1/29/2018	-	60	24,625	2018	15%	
Mo	on 3/21/2016		60	22,095	2017	5%	
Mo	on 1/13/2014	_	60	23,475	2016	-14%	
Mo	on 2/11/2013		60	23,781	2015	3%	
T	hu 1/5/2012		60	23,032	2014	4%	
M	on 1/11/2010		60	20,361	2013	10%	
Iu	ie 1/13/2009		60	21,454	2012	13%	
<	> >>	1-10 of 15				1-10	of 36
ууу	/ 🖻	To Date	2.9.4			221 1-10	01.50
				_		N	
	Int Da	000 0	5tb	Total	CLASSIFICATIO	lat	Total
	No D	ata	oun	rotal	Mon 5/8	2017 60	19 568
	NO D				Mon 3/13	/2017 60	13,910
					Tue 1/20	2015 60	12 691
					Mon 10/2	3/2013 60	19,171
					1101110/2	00	10,111
							- ARRA

### **AADT Future Projections**

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗌
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

### **Preferred Sources:**

- State/Federal Highway Planning Departments
- Regional Transportation Planning Agencies
- Local Roadway Departments
- Regression Analysis of Historical Data
- Other: as justified by narrative explanation with attached supporting documentation

### NOISE – Regression Analysis Example

	AADT						
Year	Total	AADT PROJECTION IN	PUTS AND RESULTS				
2021	22654	Growth Factor	693.509				
2020	21832	Bias	-1378767				
2019	21010	Horizon	2032				
2018	21216	AADT Projection	30,443				
2017	20530						
2016	19802				T History		
2015	18547						
2014	18324			Ending 2021, F	rojected for 2032		
2013	16403	35000					
2012	16625						
2011	20237	30000					
2010	19648	25000					
2009	16612	25000					
2008	26204	20000		R			
2007	43312		and the second second			CO2 E001- 1 270 766 7010	
2006	47917	15000			y =	R <sup>2</sup> = 0.9553	
		10000					
		10000					
		5000					
		0					
		2010	2015	2020	2025	2030	2035

### Night Fraction of AADT

Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗆
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

### **Preferred Sources: Future Projections**

- Hourly summary of most recent count with vehicle class info
- Hourly summary of most recent count without vehicle class info
- Standard values provided by local/state agencies based on roadway Functional Classification
- HUD default Value: 15

**REAL WORLD:** These values are never identical

# Example

FHWA-S	cheme	e F Cla	assific	ation	10											
Start Time	Motor cycle	Car	Pick up	Bus	2A SU	3A SU	>3A SU	<5A 2U	5A 2U	>5A 2U	<6A >2U	6A >2U	>6A >2U	Unk	Err	TOTAL
12:00 AM	1	107	15	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124
1:00 AM	0	65	4	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	71
2:00 AM	0	38	4	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	43
3:00 AM	0	38	9	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	48
4:00 AM	0	82	11	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	95
5:00 AM	1	286	63	0	8	1	2	3	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	368
6:00 AM	1	623	120	0	21	8	11	15	6	1	17	11	18	0	0	852
7:00 AM	8	731	160	2	10	11	35	36	16	7	37	26	119	0	0	1198
8:00 AM	8	413	118	2	9	16	28	40	10	8	33	21	158	0	0	864
9:00 AM	9	843	227	0	14	7	23	32	9	7	23	16	11	0	0	1221
10:00 AM	3	771	222	0	17	10	21	28	6	8	26	12	15	0	0	1139
11:00 AM	4	853	258	1	20	11	21	42	5	6	27	11	15	0	0	1274
12:00 PM	6	988	254	0	14	11	30	38	7	5	43	15	22	0	0	1433
1:00 PM	7	996	204	0	20	10	25	30	9	1	32	11	16	0	0	1361
2:00 PM	7	921	218	0	16	6	12	29	4	3	25	15	16	0	0	1272
3:00 PM	15	911	228	0	17	8	31	60	5	7	37	28	23	0	0	1370
4:00 PM	14	1080	208	0	12	14	51	60	8	4	31	43	37	0	0	1562
5:00 PM	9	1071	180	0	9	15	36	60	7	7	31	27	44	0	0	1496
6:00 PM	6	898	128	0	7	5	29	28	0	3	22	18	22	0	0	1166
7:00 PM	2	769	103	0	5	4	12	32	1	1	8	8	8	0	0	953
8:00 PM	2	555	59	0	4	0	8	17	1	2	6	3	2	0	0	659
9:00 PM	5	366	51	0	6	0	4	5	1	0	5	1	2	0	0	446
10:00 PM	2	311	28	0	2	0	3	3	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	351
11:00 PM	2	178	19	0	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	202
TOTAL	112	13894	2891	5	215	139	383	560	96	70	408	267	528	0	0	19568
Count N	avigat	ion:	<<	<	> >	>										
View Cale	ndar	Bar G	raph	Lin	ne Gra	aph	View i	in Exce	1	Month	<u>ly</u>					

	_			in the second		10									
Location ID	8	30001	08		Located On				NASH	VILLE PK			Communit	y	GALLATIN
Counted By									GAL	LATIN			County		Sumner
Start Date	4/	22/20	19		Module										
Start Time	9:0	00:00	AM		Direction 2-WAY Agency				-	tdot					
Source	Syst_Co	mbin	e_Lega		QC Status				Acc	epted			Owner ID		LEGACY
					FHWA-So	hem	e F Cla	ssifica	tion					-	
	Motor			Bu		3A	>3A	<5A	5A	>5A	<6A	6A	>6A		
Start Time	cycle	Car	Pickup	s	2A SU	SU	SU	2U	2U	2U	>2U	>2U	>2U	-	Total
12:00 AM	0	56	15	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0 0	0	73
1:00 AM	0	37	5	0	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0	0 0	0	45
2:00 AM	1	36	7	0	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	0	0 0	0	49
3:00 AM	1	31	8	0	0	2	1	2	0	0	0	0	2 0	0	47
4:00 AM	1	123	35	0	3	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0 0	0	165
5:00 AM	6	281	107	0	4	1	1	12	5	0	0	2	2 0	0	421
6:00 AM	10	692	265	0	15	18	28	22	10	6	11	8	16 0	0	1101
7:00 AM	7	991	336	0	9	27	48	53	13	3	33	21	26 0	0	1567
8:00 AM	8	1028	372	0	21	15	34	57	16	4	24	16	19 0	0	1614
9:00 AM	7	801	325	0	14	12	24	43	8	3	21	13	11 0	0	1282
10:00 AM	6	826	316	1	9	19	26	36	13	6	12	13	11 0	0	1294
11:00 AM	8	862	322	0	8	21	45	40	13	6	27	17	14 0	0	1383
12:00 PM	8	908	320	1	7	17	22	44	9	4	15	16	12 0	0	1383
1:00 PM	7	892	355	0	13	6	20	35	8	2	12	19	10 0	0	1379
2:00 PM	6	961	349	0	6	14	37	37	4	6	23	16	15 0	0	1474
3:00 PM	5	1108	392	0	12	18	31	58	4	4	26	24	20 0	0	1702
4:00 PM	7	1230	375	0	5	12	30	57	5	1	28	20	19 0	0	1789
5:00 PM	9	1216	370	0	7	6	37	61	7	4	24	25	20 0	0	1786
6:00 PM	9	887	270	0	1	2	15	32	6	1	15	11	15 0	0	1264
7:00 PM	7	733	185	0	3	0	16	18	5	2	11	7	4 0	0	991
8:00 PM	5	502	131	0	1	2	5	14	3	3	6	2	10	0	675
9:00 PM	1	341	69	1	0	1	2	11	0	0	1	0	10	0	428
10:00 PM	2	219	44	0	0	3	3	2	3	0	2	1	2 0	0	281
11:00 PM	0	129	23	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0 0	0	155
TOTAL	121	####	4996	3	138	198	427	638	139	56	291	231	220 0	0	22348
		CARS			MEDIUM TRUCKS				HE	AVY TRU	CKS			OV	ERALL NIGHT %
% of Total		89.529	6		0.62%					9.85%					
Nighttime Fraction		10.679	6		15.94%					8.22%					10.46%
					and the second se										

### **Road Gradient**

Road # 1 Name:			
Road #1			
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗆	Medium Trucks 🗆	Heavy Trucks 🗆
Effective Distance			
Distance to Stop Sign			
Average Speed			
Average Daily Trips (ADT)			
Night Fraction of ADT			
Road Gradient (%)			
Vehicle DNL			
Calculate Road #1 DNL		Reset	

### **Requires a map exhibit showing:**

- Points at which elevation values were taken
- Elevation at each point
- Distance between points

### NOISE – Road Grade Documentation Example



### Building Mitigation of Noise: STC and STraCAT

- Analysis must be broken down by unit type
- For the same floorplan, a corner unit will require a separate analysis comprised of both exterior wall sections
- DO NOT analyze aggregate of entire exterior wall area by building or level

Location  Prepared by  Primary Source(s)  Primary Source(s)  Part III - Results  Part III - Results  Vall Statistics  Vall Statistics  Value  Area:  0  Add new vali  0	Project			Sponsor/Deve	loper				
Noise Level Date   0 925/2022     art II - Wall Components     Vall Construction Detail Area   0 Stat     Vindow Construction Detail Quantity   Sq. Feet 0     Vindow Construction Detail Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit STC     Add new window     Door Construction Detail Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit STC     Add new door     Criteria Value	Location			Prepared by					
0 8725/2022     art II - Wall Components     Wall Construction Detail     Area     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     Value     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     0     Value     Value <td>Noise Level</td> <td>Date</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Primary Sour</td> <td>ce(s)</td> <td></td> <td></td>	Noise Level	Date				Primary Sour	ce(s)		
art II - Wall Components   Wall Construction Detail   Area   O   O   Add new wall   O Sq. Feet   O   Vindow Construction Detail   Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit   STC   Add new window   Door Construction Detail   Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit   STC   Add new window   Door Construction Detail   Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit   STC   Add new door	0	8/25/2022		8					
Wall Construction Detail Area STC   0 Image: STC   0 Image: STC   Stat Value   Stat Value   Add new wall Image: Stat   0 Sq. Feet 0   Mindow Construction Detail Quantity   Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   STC Aperture Statistics   Add new window Image: Stat   Door Construction Detail Quantity   Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   Add new door Image: Statistics   Evaluation Criteria Image: Statistics   Criteria Value	art II - Wall Components					Part III - Res	sults		
Image: Construction Detail Quantity Sq Ft/Unit STC   Add new window Quantity Sq Ft/Unit STC   Add new window Quantity Sq Ft/Unit STC   Add new door Quantity Sq Ft/Unit STC   Add new door Criteria Value	Wall Construction Detail	Area	STC			Wall Statist	ics		
Add new wall   Add new wall   0 Sq. Feet 0   Window Construction Detail Quantity   Sq Ft/Unit STC   Add new window   Door Construction Detail Quantity   Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   STC   Add new door     Evaluation Criteria		0			8	Stat		Valu	le
Add new wall 0 Sq. Feet 0   Window Construction Detail Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   Add new window Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   Door Construction Detail Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   Add new door 0 0 ft²   Add new door Evaluation Criteria						Area:		0 ft <sup>2</sup>	
0 Sq. Feet 0   Window Construction Detail Quantity   Add new window   Door Construction Detail Quantity   Quantity Sq Ft/Unit   STC   Add new door     Evaluation Criteria     Criteria	Add new wall					Wall STC:		0	
Window Construction Detail       Quantity       Sq Ft/Unit       STC         Add new window       Image: Add new window       Image		0 Sq. Feet	0						
Add new window       Aperture       Count       Area       % of wall         Door Construction Detail       Quantity       Sq Ft/Unit       STC       Doors:       0       0 ft²       0%         Add new door       Evaluation Criteria       Evaluation Criteria       Value	Window Construction Detail	Quantity	Sq Ft/Unit	STC		Aperture St	atistics		
Door Construction Detail       Quantity       Sq Ft/Unit       STC         Add new door       0       0 ft²       0%         Evaluation Criteria       Value	Add new window					Aperture	Count	Area	% of wall
Door Construction Detail     Quantity     Sq Ft/Unit     STC       Add new door     Evaluation Criteria						Windows:	0	0 ft <sup>2</sup>	0%
Add new door Evaluation Criteria Criteria Value	Door Construction Detail	Quantity	Sq Ft/Unit	STC		Doors:	0	0 ft <sup>2</sup>	0%
Criteria Value	Add new door					Evaluation	Criteria		
						Criteria		Valu	e





Noise Continued....

### Building Mitigation of Noise: STC and STraCAT

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

- Floorplans showing location of unit types
- Scaled plan for each unit type, annotated with measured length of exterior wall sections
- Elevation drawings for noise-exposed façades annotated with wall heights, door and window locations/dimensions
- Manufacturers specification sheets showing STC or OITC ratings

Project			Sponsor/E	eveloper				
Location			Prepared	by				
Noise Level	Date				Primary Sourc	ce(s)		
)	8/25/2022			8				
rt II - Wall Components					Part III - Res	sults		
/all Construction Detail	Area	STC			Wall Statist	ics		
	0			۲	Stat		Valu	ue
					Area:		0 ft <sup>2</sup>	2
Add new wall					Wall STC:		0	
	0 Sq. Feet	0						
vindow Construction Detail	Quantity	Sa Ft/Unit	STC		Aperture St	atistics		
					Aperture	Count	Area	% of wall
Add new Window					Windows:	0	0 ft <sup>2</sup>	0%
oor Construction Detail	Quantity	Sq Ft/Unit	STC		Doors:	0	0 ft <sup>2</sup>	0%
Add new door					Evaluation	Criteria		
					Criteria		Valu	





Noise Continued....



Noise Continued....





### Building Mitigation of Noise: STC and STraCAT

### **SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION**

- Applicable wall section drawings and specification sheets documenting materials and assembly
- Certification by architect performing the analysis, or
- Certification by Architect's reviewing and confirming analysis performed by 3<sup>rd</sup> party.

Project			Sponsor/Dev	veloper				
Location			Prepared by					
Noise Level	Date				Primary Sour	ce(s)		
)	8/25/2022			8				
rt II - Wall Components					Part III - Res	sults		
Vall Construction Detail	Area	STC			Wall Statist	ics		
	0			8	Stat		Val	ue
					Area:		0 ft <sup>2</sup>	2
Add new wall					Wall STC:		0	
	0 Sq. Feet	0						
Vindow Construction Detail	Quantity	Sq Ft/Unit	STC		Aperture St	atistics		
Add new window					Windows:	O	Area	% of wall
oor Construction Detail	Quantity	Sq Ft/Unit	STC		Doors:	0	0 ft <sup>2</sup>	0%
Add new door					Evaluation	Criteria		
					Critoria		Valu	•





## Draft Noise Policy Updates

• Definition of noise-sensitive outdoor use

 Addition of L<sub>day</sub> metric for outdoor amenities closed at night

Ancillary use area description	Is the area noise sensitive?	Justification
Swimming pool	Yes	Potential safety issues if verbal warnings are not intelligible
Playground		
Enclosed/fenced dog run or dog wash	No	Speech communication not required AND average duration of activity <14 hours/week
Car wash		
Trails		
Private gathering space associated with individual dwelling unit (balconies, patios, porches, decks, and terraces constructed integrally to a building)	No, however must comply with balcony policy requirements	See Balcony Policy Memo and 2020 MAP Guide 9.6.8.I
Shared or common gathering outdoor space	Case-specific	Yes, if speech communication required for safety or is an integral part of use
Other types of outdoor space, performance venue, sport or play area with specific use		No, if speech communication not required AND average duration of activity <14 hours/week
Undefined/Open areas		
Draft policy potentially subject to change		



### L<sub>day</sub>

- Removes nighttime weighting for outdoor amenities closed at night.
- Requires approval of Assistant Secretary

Nighttime Fraction	Offset
0.00	+2.1
0.05	+0.2
0.10	-1.2
0.15	-2.3
0.20	-3.4
0.25	-4.3
0.30	-5.2
0.35	-6.0
0.40	-6.8
0.45	-7.6
0.50	-8.3
0.55	-9.1
0.60	-10.0
0.65	-10.8
0.70	-11.8
0.75	-12.8
0.80	-14.1
0.85	-15.5
0.90	-17.5
0.95	-20.7

# L<sub>day</sub>

Make sure to use offset table instead of setting nighttime fraction to zero in DNL calculator



Medium Trucks Z	Programs ∽ Resources ∽ T Heavy Trucks ☑	Trainings
Medium Trucks 130	Heavy Trucks 🗹	
Medium Trucks Z	Heavy Trucks 🗹	
130		
	130	Standard DNL calculation
42	42	
700	1000	
9	9	
	3	
56	67	
	42 700 9 56 Peset	42       42         700       1000         9       9         3       3         56       67

IV HUD EXCHANGE		Prog	rams 🗸 🛛 Resources 🛇	<ul> <li>Trainings</li> </ul>
Road #1				
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗹	Medium Trucks 🗹	Heavy Trucks 🗹	
Effective Distance	130	130	130	
Distance to Stop Sign				
Average Speed	42	42	42	
Average Daily Trips (ADT)	11284	637	910	
Night Fraction of ADT	0	0	0	
Road Gradient (%)			3	
Vehicle DNL	56	53	64	
Calculate Road #1 DNL	65	Reset		

Incorrect: Nighttime numbers removed from ADT and night fraction set to zero

Nighttime Fraction	Offset
0.00	+2.1
0.05	+0.2
0.10	-1.2
0.15	-2.3
0.20	-3.4
0.25	-4.3
0.30	-5.2
0.35	-6.0
0.40	-6.8
0.45	-7.6
0.50	-8.3
0.55	-9.1
0.60	-10.0
0.65	-10.8
0.70	-11.8
0.75	-12.8
0.80	-14.1
0.85	-15.5
0.90	-17.5
0.95	-20.7

# Lday

# Using linear interpolation, an offset of -1.0 is selected



HUD EXCHANGE		Prog	grams ~ Resources ~ Tr	rainings ~ Program Support ~ Grantees ~ News C
Road #1				
Vehicle Type	Cars 🗹	Medium Trucks 🗹	Heavy Trucks 🗹	
Effective Distance	130	130	130	
Distance to Stop Sign				Offset of -3.4, Lday of
Average Speed	42	42	42	64.6
Average Daily Trips (ADT)	8000	600	600	
Night Fraction of ADT	20	20	20	
Road Gradient (%)			3	
Vehicle DNL	59	58	67	



### Radon-2016 MAP Guide

- Radon report not required for 223(f) projects with low radon risk as per EPA Zone 3 and state and local radon data.
- Radon testing must include at least 25% of ground level units plus 10% of upper floor units

### Project-level Data from July 7, 2017 to March 17, 2021

Total 223(f)	Total Tested for radon	Total with radon over 4 pCi/L	% elevated radon/tested
1111	810	393	48%

### Radon-2020 MAP Guide

- Radon report required for all 223(f) projects
- Radon testing must include 100% of ground floor units plus 10% of upper floor units

### Project-level Data from March 18, 2021 to July 26, 2022

Total 223(f)	Total Tested for	Total with radon	% elevated
	radon	over 4 pCi/L	radon/tested
341	332	152	46%

### **FLORIDA - EPA Map of Radon Zones**

http://www.epa.gov/radon/zonemap.html

The purpose of this map is to assist National, State and local organizations to target their resources and to implement radon-resistant building codes.

This map is not intended to determine if a home in a given zone should be tested for radon. Homes with elevated levels of radon have been found in all three zones.

### All homes should be tested, regardless of zone designation.





# <u>Construction</u>

- Radon mitigation systems designed according to standards cited at 9.6.3.5.F.1.B.2
- HUD staff not qualified to design or review plans for radon mitigation systems
- 24 CFR 50.32 applies nonetheless

# 24 CFR 50.32

"The program staff in the HUD office responsible for processing the project application..."

- "...The **HUD program staff** may use any information supplied by the applicant or contractor, provided HUD"
- Independently evaluates the information
- Will be responsible for its accuracy,
- Supplements the information, if necessary, to conform to the requirements of this part, and
- Prepares the environmental finding

# <u>Construction</u>

- Radon mitigation systems designed according to standards cited at 9.6.3.5.F.1.B.2
- HUD staff not qualified to design or review plans for radon mitigation systems
- 24 CFR 50.32 applies nonetheless: THEREFORE
- Certification by licensed radon mitigation professional OR project architect should be provided at firm application
- Subsequent modifications or change orders should include updated certification.

# **RADON: Testing & Mitigation Plan Details**

Mitigation narrative should include the following boilerplate information:

- Requirement for incorporation of radon mitigation systems and the applicable standard
- Requirement for satisfactory radon testing performed post construction but prior to final endorsement
- Overview of applicable testing standard (i.e., number and locations of units to be tested 1st floor vs. upper floors, etc.)

# **RADON: Testing & Mitigation Plan Details**

Mitigation narrative should include the following boilerplate information:

- Threshold for acceptable/unacceptable results
- Brief description of additional mitigation options and process to be followed when test results reveal radon levels > 4 pCi/L:
  - Mitigation system upgrades
  - Requirement for retesting

### RAC

### Housing Requirements

equirements for evaluating additional housing requirements vary by program. Refer to the appropriate guidance for your program area (i Tips for completing this screen:

- · Discuss compliance steps and determinations in the text boxes below each topic. Upload documentation.
- Any required mitigation measures (for example, radon mitigation or asbestos remediation) must be summarized in the Mitigation Te
- · Summarize compliance determinations in the final Compliance Determination Text Box. This will appear after you complete the mit Requirements." You may upload additional documents here if not already captured in the documentation uploaded for each topic.

### Lead-based paint

Lead-based paint may be present in buildings built prior to 1978. Guidance materials related to lead-based paint, including a helpful online

Was a lead-based paint inspection or survey performed by the appropriate certified lead professional? O Yes

- No, because the project was previously deemed to be lead free.
- Upload all lead free certificates.
- No, because the project does not involve any buildings constructed prior to 1978.

The proposed project will represent new construction through HUD's 221(d)(4) program.

- Provide documentation of construction date(s) below.
- No, because program guidance does not require testing for this type of project.
- For example: HUD's lead-based paint requirements at 24 CFR Part 35 do not apply to housing designated exclusively for the elderly (

Describe how compliance or exemption was met and upload any relevant documents such as reports, surveys, and letters below

### File upload

Radon Many Housing Programs require radon testing and mitigation. Radon is a colorless, odorless gas that can enter the air inside of buildings. Was radon testing performed following the appropriate and latest ANSI-AARST standard?

O Yes

- No, because program guidance does not require testing for this type of project.
- Note that radon testing is encouraged for all HUD projects, even where it is not required. Explain why radon testing was not complete

### Describe how compliance or exemption was met and upload any relevant documents such as reports, surveys, and letters below.

Review of the USEPA's Radon Map for indicated that the Project is located within Zone 2. areas with a predicted average indoor radon screening between 2 and 4 pCl/L (picoCuries per liter of air). Radon levels should be taken into considered when designing and developing the Project for residential use including passive radon resistant construction according to ASTM E 1465-088 (or most recent edition) and AARST/ANSI CC-1000. Postconstruction radon testing is required based on AARST/MAMF-2017 (or current Industry Standard) and then current HUD guidelines, before Final Closing.

RadonMap.pdf

### Asbestos

Asbestos may be present in older buildings and in roofing materials through the present day. Refer to specific program guidance for data

Was a comprehensive asbestos building survey performed pursuant to the relevant requirements of the latest ASTM standard? Yes

- No, because the project meets a date threshold in program guidance.
- Provide documentation of construction date(s) and how this fits program guidance below.
- No, because program guidance does not require testing for this type of project
- Explain in textbox below.

Describe how compliance or exemption was met and upload any relevant documents such as reports, surveys, and letter

The proposed project will represent new construction through HUD's 221(d)(4) program.

### Additional Nuisances and Hazards

Many Housing Programs have additional requirements with respect to common nuisances and hazards. These include High Pressure Pipelines; Fall H

Describe how compliance or exemption was met for any relevant nuisance, hazard or local requirement and upload any documents such as reports, si

Construction plans were developed in compliance with the Also, an ALTA Survey has been completed showing no high-pressure pipelines, transmission lines, or supporting structures. During the Phase I ESA, no oil or wells were identified on or near the Proposed Project. Based on the Phase I ESA and the Geotechnical Report the soil present is native and is not considered fill.

File upload: File upload:

### Gas Transmission Pipelines.pdf

### Mitigation:

Explain in detail the exact measures that must be implemented to mitigate for any impact or effect discussed on this screen, including the timeline for i

Post-construction radon testing is required based on AARST/MAMF-2017 (or current Industry Standard) and then current HUD guidelines, before Final Closing. If indoor radon screening is above 4 pCi/L, retesting and/or mitigation will be required.

### Screen Summary

### **Compliance Determination**

Describe the basis that led to your determination here, identifying all key elements from your support documentation that substantiate your information that it was based on, such as:

Map panel numbers and dates

Names of all consulted parties and relevant consultation dates

Names of plans or reports and relevant page numbers

Any additional requirements specific to your region

# **CONTAMINATION & TOXIC SUBSTANCES**

- Offsite Contamination
- REC under Phase I Def. Vs HUD Requirements
- Buried Utility Service Lines as Preferential Pathways
- Mixed Use Sites, Shared Infrastructure, and Site Work

# MAP Guide 9.4.7: Offsite Contamination

- Risks posed to occupants or use of property by offsite contamination are not acceptable
- Offsite contamination under Sponsor's control, must be remediated in accordance with 9.4.3 through 9.4.5
- Offsite contamination where Sponsor does not have control: RBCA or other acceptable program meeting requirements of 9.4.3 and 9.4.5 is mandatory

# HUD Requirements: 24 CFR 50.32

"The program staff in the HUD office responsible for processing the project application..."

"...The **HUD program staff** may use any information supplied by the applicant or contractor, provided HUD

- independently evaluates the information
- will be responsible for its accuracy,
- supplements the information, if necessary, to conform to the requirements of this part, and
- prepares the environmental finding

## HUD Requirements: Aggregation

### MAP Guide 9.1.2.A.1.b.:

b. In most cases, the Lender is required to cover only the FHA collateral parcel for the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment conducted under ASTM E1527-13. (This applies to ASTM E1527-13 in-scope items only). However, the Phase I Environmental Site Assessment must consider the impact of contamination from offsite parcels on the collateral parcel. Remediation would be required for non-collateral areas only to the extent the hazard could affect the health and safety of occupants of the property securing the mortgage or conflict with the intended utilization of the property and as per <u>Section 9.4.7</u>.









### **NEW CONSTRUCTION ON MIXED-USE SITES**



### Lead-based Paints

- Interim controls vs. abatement vs. ongoing maintenance
- 24 CFR Part 35 is the controlling resource
- Disclosure, disclosure, disclosure



### Source: GAO.

### Asbestos

- Baseline survey vs. Pre-construction survey vs. Post-1989 treatment
- Verification of roofing materials through receipts or sampling
  - MSDS or Standard Specification
  - Presumption of ACM?
- O&M Program





### HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD

**Questions??** 



### HIT THE NAIL ON THE HEAD

**Environmental Training Morning Session Contacts:** 

- Sara Jensen, <u>sara.jensen@hud.gov</u>
- Jacob Levine, <u>Jacob.Levine@hud.gov</u>
- Greg Adams, greg.w.adams@hud.gov
- Chuck Melton, <u>Chuck.A.Melton@hud.gov</u>
- Angelique Cruz, <u>ACrews@partneresi.com</u>
- Amy Long, <u>Amy.Long@am.jll.com</u>